

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/898,936	07/03/2001	Paul DiCarlo	BSI-479US	1985
7590 08/12/2004		EXAMINER		
Christopher R. Lewis RATNER & PRESTIA			LANDREM, KAMRIN R	
One Westlakes, Berwyn, Suite 301 P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738 DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/898,936 DICARLO, PAUL **Advisory Action** Examiner Art Unit 3738 Kamrin R. Landrem -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

		RRINE McDERMOTT SORY PATENT EXAMINER	Kamrin Landrem Examiner AU 3738				
10	Other:						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
_	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_					
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15,25-27 and 35-52</u> .						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:					
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
5.	. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
		· ·	separate, timely filed amendment				
3.	NOTE: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):					
(d)	(d) they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number o	f finally rejected claims.				
(c)	(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or simplifying the				
(b)	(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);					
(a)	(a) \square they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).						
have bee 37 CFR (b) above	706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter pove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set i	he fee. The appropriate extension fee under n the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
b) 🔀	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin						
	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
Theref final re conditi	EREPLY FILED 22 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T refore, further action by the applicant is required to rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: dition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App mination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	lication. A proper reply to a hich places the application in				

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700